UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,774	09/29/2005	Masayuki Kamikawa	H&A-137	1626
Mattingly Stang	7590 09/17/200 ger Malur	EXAMINER		
Suite 370		NGUYEN, TU MINH		
1800 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,774	KAMIKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TU M. NGUYEN	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner. s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examinor. Note the attached Office	5, (calon of form) 10 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050131.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal   6)  Other:	oate

Art Unit: 3748

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on January 31, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 4-6, 9, and 10 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-11 are pending in this application.

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 1, line 1 of the claim, "exh aust" should read --exhaust--.
  - Claim 3, line 3 of the claim, --hydrocarbon-- should be inserted following "burns".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Appropriate correction is required.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kitahara (PCT Publication No. WO 03/018972) (see U.S. Patent 6,796,118 for the English equivalence).

Art Unit: 3748

Re claims 1 and 11, as shown in Figure 1, Kitahara discloses an exhaust gas purifying apparatus and an exhaust gas purifying method for a diesel engine (1), the apparatus comprising:

- an NOx adsorption and reduction type catalyst (13) that adsorbs and reduces NOx in an exhaust gas; and
- a diesel particulate filter (14) that collects particulate matters in the exhaust gas from the upstream side of a flow of the exhaust gas,

wherein the catalyst (13) and filter (14) being arranged sequentially in an exhaust channel that exhausts the exhaust gas of the diesel engine.

Re claim 4, in the exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Kitahara, heating means (rich operation in step S13 or S15 of a routine in Figure 2) that heats the exhaust gas on the upstream side of the exhaust gas channel of the NOx adsorption and reduction type catalyst.

Re claim 5, the exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Kitahara further comprises heating means (rich operation in step S19 of Figure 2) that heats the diesel particulate filter.

Re claims 6-8, from a routine illustrated in Figure 2, the exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Kitahara further comprises:

- NOx amount estimation means (step S3) that estimates an amount of NOx accumulated in the NOx adsorption and reduction type catalyst from a measured value of a physical quantity that stands for an operation condition of the diesel engine such as temperature, an air-fuel ratio, oxygen concentration, and a lean operation time of an exhaust gas that flows into the NOx adsorption catalyst (see lines 44-52 of column 4); and
- control means that, when the amount of accumulated NOx estimated by the NOx amount estimation means reaches a fixed value (step S10 with YES answer), performs control

(step S13 or S15) of increasing the temperature of the exhaust gas that flows into the NOx adsorption and reduction type catalyst to temperature necessary for NOx reduction and purification, and supplying fuel that is a reducing agent necessary for reducing accumulated NOx to the exhaust gas,

wherein the fuel that is a reducing agent necessary for reducing NOx is supplied to the exhaust gas by increasing the amount of the fuel supplied to the diesel engine by means of a fuel secondary injection that injects the fuel (rich condition in premix combustion) to an engine combustion chamber in an expansion stroke of the diesel engine.

Re claim 9, as shown in Figures 2 and 4, the exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Kitahara further comprises:

- exhaust gas temperature measuring means (25) that measures the temperature of the exhaust gas that flows into the diesel particulate filter (14);
- exhaust gas temperature judgment means that judges the exhaust gas temperature measured by the exhaust gas temperature measuring means is lower than a predetermined temperature (step S28 with NO answer);
- particulate capture amount estimation means (step S5) that estimates an amount of particulates captured by the diesel particulate filter; and
  - heating means (step S19) that heats the exhaust gas,

wherein control of heating the exhaust gas into the predetermined temperature (step S19) is performed by the heating means, and the particulates captured by the diesel particulate are burned and removed when an estimated value of the amount of particulates estimated by the particulate capture amount estimation means reaches a predetermined capture amount (step S18).

Application/Control Number: 10/522,774

Art Unit: 3748

with YES answer), and the exhaust gas temperature is judged by the exhaust gas temperature judgment means to be lower temperature than the predetermined temperature (step S28 with NO answer).

Page 5

5. Claims 1, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deeba (U.S. Patent 6,912,847).

Re claims 1 and 11, as shown in Figure 2, Deeba discloses an exhaust gas purifying apparatus and an exhaust gas purifying method for a diesel engine, the apparatus comprising:

- an NOx adsorption and reduction type catalyst (21) that adsorbs and reduces NOx in an exhaust gas; and
- a diesel particulate filter (15) that collects particulate matters in the exhaust gas from the upstream side of a flow of the exhaust gas,

wherein the catalyst (21) and filter (15) being arranged sequentially in an exhaust channel that exhausts the exhaust gas of the diesel engine.

Re claim 10, in the exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Deeba, the NOx adsorption and reduction type catalyst includes at least one type of element chosen from potassium, sodium, magnesium, strontium, and calcium (lines 55-57 of column 5), at least one type of element chosen from a rare earth metal such as cerium (lines 6-9 of column 7), at least one type of element chosen from precious metals such as platinum, rhodium, and palladium (lines 24-29 of column 7), and at least one type of an element chosen from titanium or silicon, and is a composite composed of a metal, metal oxides, or a compound oxide, or a composite in which the composite is carried in porous heat resistant metal oxides (see lines 3-10 of column 8).

Art Unit: 3748

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitahara as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Stroia et al. (U.S. Patent 6,820,414).

Re claim 2, the exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Kitahara discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to disclose that an oxidation catalyst is arranged on the downstream side of the diesel particulate filter viewed from the flow of the exhaust gas.

As shown in Figure 1, Stroia et al. disclose an after-treatment system having a soot filter (18) and a dual NOx adsorbers (26, 28) arranged in parallel. As indicated on lines 9-17 of column 5, Stroia et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to utilize an oxidation catalyst (40) arranged on the downstream side of the soot filter and the NOx adsorbers in order to remove unburned HC that slip through the NOx adsorbers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the oxidation catalyst taught by Stroia et al. in the apparatus of Kitahara, since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art to prevent inadvertent release of harmful HC emissions into the atmosphere.

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 3, in the modified exhaust gas purifying apparatus of Kitahara, the oxidation catalyst (40) is a three-way catalyst that adsorbs NOx in the exhaust gas, and is a hydrocarbon adsorption and combustion type catalyst that burns hydrocarbon and purifies the NOx.

#### Prior Art

- 8. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on January 31, 2005 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of four patents: Murachi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,746,989), Khair et al. (U.S. Patent 6,293,096), Shigapov et al. (U.S. Patent 6,813,884), and Szymkowicz (U.S. Patent 6,915,629) further disclose a state of the art.

Art Unit: 3748

#### Communication

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tu M. Nguyen/

TMN Tu M. Nguyen

September 14, 2008 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748